Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/043,366	KATSUYAMA, IWAO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gerald Murphy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: 41,42,64-66		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)